

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/941,723	08/30/2001	Yossi Barsheshet	233-101	233-101 7150	
759	08/19/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.			EMDADI,	EMDADI, KAMRAN	
8th Floor 1100 North Gleb	oe Road	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Arlington, VA 22201-4714			2667		
		DATE MAILED: 08/19/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/941,	723	BARSHESHET, YOSSI			
		Examine	er	Art Unit			
		Kamran		2667			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MAII - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the perio - If NO perio - Failure to r Any reply r	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI s of time may be available under the provisions s) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm d for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 and for reply is specified above, the maximum state reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months a tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nunication. 0) days, a reply within the st atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ag	event, however, may a reply be time atutory minimum of thirty (30) days will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a polication to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠ Res	sponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>05 July</u> 2005.					
·	is action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of	of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4-9 and 12-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4-9 and 12-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application I	Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
	References Cited (PTO-892)	TO 048)	4) Interview Summary				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7-5-2005, 2 sheets</u>. 			Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 5, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. A new grounds of rejection has been presented in addition to the previous grounds of rejection which is hereby maintained.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "indicating whether the flow was to be conveyed over the segment had the fault not occurred" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New

Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 4-9 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The feature recited in claims 1 and 9:

"assigning a respective specific mask bit to each of the segments, indicating whether the flow was to be conveyed over the segment had the fault not occurred"

is not enabled by the specification. The examiner does not dispute that the feature is recited in the summary of the invention portion of the specification, however, the feature is recited in the same indefinite and non-descriptive manner as the claim language. The claimed feature does not enable one skilled in the art to make and use the invention and, neither does the specification. Therefore, the specification is not enabling as to the above noted feature.

The Examiner notes that the drawings, specifically, figure no. 3 refers to a finite number of possibilities for handling data flow through the network. These include no steering 56, steering 58 or discarding 60 the data flow. The Examiner's suggests that the Applicant consider these results as described in figure no. 3 when considering a new form for the claim language in an effort to overcome the drawing objection and the 112 1st paragraph rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ballintine et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,366,556).

Regarding claims 1 and 9, Ballintine teaches a self-healing network that operates as a bidirectional ring network with a plurality of nodes (A-F) and segments (see figure 2). When a fault occurs on a communication path segment (i.e. link 11a/12a of figure 2) it is detected and data bits are used to identify the source and destination of the communication (see column 6, lines 12-18). In addition, figure 7 illustrates bit groups identifying the broken path segment at source, intermediate and destination

Application/Control Number: 09/941,723

Art Unit: 2667

nodes. With regard to the general mask and the specific mask Ballintine teaches Z3 POH bytes used to represent parity errors received. A first general mask of bits is set based on a current interpretation of the network configuration, which is compensated by new information contained in a specific mask of a Z3 byte, and an XOR operation is used in the compensation procedure (see column 11, lines 25-30 and 50-55; and column 12, lines 14-20). Ballintine also teaches an individual bit assigned to each segment (see column 7, lines 15-20).

Regarding claims 4 and 12, Ballintine teaches, as noted above an XOR operation used to superimpose the general and specific masks.

Regarding claims 5-7 and 13-15, Ballintine teaches, in addition to the above noted discussion, re-routing data (column 8, lines 28-30).

Regarding claims 8 and 16, Ballintine teaches determining whether a restoration should take place (column 8, lines 30-36).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kamran Emdadi whose telephone number is 571-272-6047. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F between the hours of 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/941,723

Art Unit: 2667

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kamran Emdadi

August 16, 2005

CHI PHAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

TECHNICION CENTER 2801